

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,494 05/14/2002		Wolfgang Kauss	1.2059RR	2177	
7590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER			
Martin A Farber			MICHALSKY, GERALD A		
Suite 473					
866 United Nations Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10017	3753			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					lΛΛ			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	- 			
Office Action Summary		10/030,49	4	KAUSS, WOLFGANG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gerald A. N	Michalsky	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	·	— is action is l	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15 and 16</u> is/are allowed.								
· _	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	equirement.					
	on Papers	_						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine		LVM abiacianisa by the	. Everniner				
10)凶(The drawing(s) filed on <u>(none filed)</u> is/are: a)							
111	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				er			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,— , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>			y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/030,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. While there is a copy of the drawings which are part of the WIPO publication of the PCT application in the file, there is no official copy of the drawings of record intended for this national stage application. Applicant is required to file formal drawings for this national stage application in response to this action in order to avoid abandonment of the application.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). The copy of the abstract submitted by preliminary amendment is too long and should not exceed 150 words.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

6. Claims 14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 is drawn to the embodiment of Figure 1. However, claims 14 and 20, which depend from claim 11, contain reference numerals "45", "46", and "47", which are present in Figure 2. A claim which depends from a claim which is drawn only to one embodiment should not contain reference numerals to another embodiment. Likewise, claim 19, which depends indirectly from claim 11, contains reference numeral "45", which refers to Figure 2. There is no antecedent basis for "the electrical setting member of the switching valve arrangements" in claim 17, lines 5-6 and claim 18, lines 10-11. There is no antecedent basis for "the electrical setting member of the pilot control pressure valve" in claim 18, lines 7-8.

7. Claims 10-13 and 15-16 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,494

Art Unit: 3753

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Gerald A. Michalsky
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

GM November 2, 2003